



IFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re : U.S. Patent Application of ROMEO DEPLAZES and PHILIPP ANGST

Serial No.: 10/802,602

Group Art Unit : 2837

Filed : March 17, 2004

Examiner : Anthony J. Salata

For : **Monitoring System**

Attorney's Docket : 0127-089P/JAB

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-RESPONSIVE SUBMISSION/
AMENDMENT**

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a Response To Notice Of Non-Responsive Submission/Amendment in the above-entitled application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0748. A replacement sheet of drawings, together with a duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Any patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.17.


JAY A. BONDELL, ESQ., REG. #28,188

Date : July 6, 2005

Customer No. 022831

SCHWEITZER CORNMAN GROSS & BONDELL LLP


292 Madison Avenue - 19th Floor

New York, New York 10017

Tel:(646) 424-0770 - Fax:(646) 424-0880

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on July 6, 2005.


Carol L. Wood, Sender



Attorney Docket #0127-089P/JAB

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re : U.S. Patent Application of ROMEO DEPLAZES and PHILIPP ANGST
S.N. : 10/802,602 Group Art Unit: 2837
Filed : March 17, 2004 Examiner : Anthony J. Salata
For : **MONITORING SYSTEM**

New York, NY
July 6, 2005

Mail Stop - Amendment
Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-RESPONSIVE SUBMISSION/
AMENDMENT**

Sir:

Responsive to the Patent Office communication of June 23, 2005, advising Applicant that a reply filed on June 6, 2005 was not fully responsive to the prior Office Action, and in accordance with a subsequent telephone conversation with Examiner Salata, it is believed that correct association was not made by the Patent and Trademark Office between Applicant's reply of June 6, 2005 and the referenced, earlier-filed Amendment of May 16, 2005 that was deemed to be not fully responsive. Accordingly, Applicant hereby submits a full and complete replacement Amendment, fully responsive to the issues raised by the Examiner in the original Office Action.